



PSD SRO CAC Meeting Notes
03/25
5:30pm–7:30pm
Zoom

Notes

Outcomes / Action Items

Welcome and Process

Everyone shared a general check in on where they are today, noting the heaviness of the past week given the events in Boulder.

The facilitation team acknowledged a number of things that are setting the stage for tonight:

- Appreciation of Juan's service and him stepping down from the CAC.
- The LC Sheriff's (w)-1.9 ncharge, and the possibility it will affect the community engagement results
- The community and student survey, which has gone out but has not



POUDRE SCHOOL DISTRICT
NORTHERN COLORADO





and often highlighted as a leading reason for SROs.) The group feels that a who comes into a school building should form positive relationships with students, but do not believe this should be a reason law enforcement is invited into school buildings, especially since there are many other spaces and opportunities where LE can do that relationship building with young people.

Another agreement came around using law enforcement for emergency planning. The group agreed that law enforcement should be involved in helping make the emergency plans as they add a unique perspective and have proven helpful in this area. However, when it comes to preparing students and staff, but especially students, for an emergency situation (i.e. active shooter drills), school administration should lead this without law enforcement involvement. There was a strong feeling that these drills are often traumatic enough for students and law enforcement does not need to be involved in these practice runs during school days (inviting LE to practice their response in actual school buildings on weekends was expressed as a better alternative).

One member raised a concern about not wanting law enforcement to build relationships with students, and questioned this recommendation. Another member responded that law enforcement can, and should, reach out and build relationships with young people in their community as a way to build trust.



when not to involve law enforcement. Additionally, the group felt strongly that if a victim does not want to go through with a legal investigation or charges, they should have the right to opt out. There was no solution/consensus on missing/runaway students or co-responder checks. However, from what we understand, often co-responder visits could be carried out without law enforcement involved and handled by the co-responder. If law enforcement is involved, the current practice of remaining on backup only as a safety precaution should be followed. Given this backup role, it would not be necessary to have an SRO specifically involved.

Closing

Facilitators congratulated CAC members on having established a broad framework that they seem to have achieved meaningful consensus on for what law enforcement should and should not be involved in when it comes to PSD schools. They informed them that next